

LOCAL MENTION.

AMUSEMENTS TONIGHT.

Chevy Chase Lake—Concert by Marine Band and dancing.
Open-Air Theater, Chevy Chase Lake—Motion pictures.
Glen Echo Park—Dancing.

EXCURSIONS TOMORROW.

Steamer Macalester for Marshall Hall at 10 a.m.; 2:30 and 6:30 p.m.
Steamer Pentz for River View at 10 a.m.; 2:15 and 6:45 p.m.
Steamer Arrowsmith for Colonial Beach at 10 a.m.; 2:30 and 6:30 p.m.
Steamer Macalester for Mount Vernon at 10 a.m.; 2:30 and 6:30 p.m.
Randall line for Colonial Beach, Nomini and Intermediate landings at 4 p.m.
Norfolk and Washington line for Fortress Monroe and Norfolk at 6:30 p.m.
Steamer Estelle Randall for Glymont and Intermediate landings at 9 a.m.
Weems line for Baltimore and river landings at 4 p.m.
District line station for Chesapeake Beach at 9:25 and 11 a.m.; 2:30, 5:35, 7:45 and 9:45 p.m.

"Queen of Summer Trips,"
Boston and Providence

Merchants & Miners' Trans. Co. have steamer from Baltimore and Norfolk daily 8 p.m. Send for tour book. Tickets on sale. B. Penn. R. Co. Norfolk & Washington steamship offices, W. P. Turner, G. P. A., Baltimore, Md., "FINEST COASTWISE TRIPS IN THE WORLD."

There's No Place Like Home

In hot weather if the refrigerator contains a supply of "Old Glory" Root steamer for thirst, refreshes and invigorates. For case write or phone Abner-Drury Brewing Co.

For Iced Tea

Use Kenny's Cheon Tea, the best 50c. min. tea in America. C. D. Kenny Co., 60 stores.

Great Bear Pure Spring Water, 4 gallons for 50c. Office 704 11th.

The Weems Steamboat Co.

Five trips weekly between Washington and Baltimore. Telephone 745.

If You're Going to Have Ice Cream Be sure and get Retsinger's. It's the best. 1 gal., 50c. 1/2 gal., 25c. 3/4 gal., 37c. 1/4 gal., 10c.

Best Boards, \$1.50 Per 100 Ft.

Frank Libbey & Co., 6th and New York ave.

Gas Ranges and Blue Flame Oil Heaters at cost to reduce stock. John Moran, 2126 Pennsylvania avenue.

Special Price on Photographs.

Previous to moving to our new studio, 12th and F streets, we will make our last Platinum Portraits for \$5 a dozen. Regular price, \$7. Rice, 1225 Pennsylvania avenue.

2-Burner Gas Range, Large Oven, \$3.75. A. Eberly's Sons, 718 7th st. n.w.

A Refreshing, Invigorating Light

Beer, W. B. Co.'s Light Beer is a rare treat—it is a food drink that satisfies thirst, promotes health. Physicians suggest it with meals as a stimulant. Washington Brewery Co., 4th & F. Phone E. 254.

Distillation Purifies Water.

Simply boiling won't do it. Drink pure water. Drink Finley's Diamond F Distilled Water, 208 Mass. ave. n.e. Phone E. 18.

CITY AND DISTRICT.

A. M. Carr, thirty-one years old, living at 482 Pennsylvania avenue, was taken sick at Pennsylvania avenue and 14th street this morning about 2:45 o'clock. He was treated at the Emergency Hospital.

A team driven by Charles Ladden of 310 12th street northwest and a bicycle ridden by John Hines of 1360 14th street collided at 7th and F streets yesterday afternoon. The breaking of the bicycle was the only damage done.

Mrs. Arabella Todd, a clerk in the pension office, was taken sick while at work yesterday afternoon. She was taken to the Emergency Hospital for treatment. Her home is at Springfield, Md.

A slight blaze occurred in the carpenter shop of A. A. Thompson, 424 New Jersey avenue northwest, last night about 10:30 o'clock. The fire was caused by the gas jet coming in contact with a pot of glue. About \$5 damage resulted.

Mrs. J. E. Whiting, living at Central Heights, was knocked down by a car at 15th and H streets northeast yesterday afternoon and cut over her left eye. Friends assisted her home. The wheel was ridden by George Bladen of 1375 F street northeast.

James S. Davis, forty-four years old, living at 206 N street southeast, a watchman in the employ of the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, jumped from a moving train in this morning about 2:45 o'clock and broke his left leg. He was removed to Providence Hospital in the police patrol wagon.

C. R. Jett of Hillsdale drove his team in a way of a street car on 9th street yesterday afternoon and a collision resulted. The wagon was overturned and somewhat damaged, but the driver escaped injury.

Suffering from an injury over her right eye, which was inflicted by a hatchet, Charlotte Brooke, colored, living in Chester court, called at Freedmen's Hospital last night and was given surgical treatment. She said the injury was inflicted at her home by a colored man.

Gude Uses Superior Flowers

In the making up of Floral Designs, hence their unusual beauty. 1224 F.—Adv't.

Concert at the Navy Yard.

An open-air concert will be given at the navy yard daily at 5 p.m. by the band of the U. S. S. Sylph, commonly known as the Navy Band, to which visitors are cordially invited. The program for today is as follows:

March, "Sammy".....Hutchinson
Overture, "Pomp and Circumstances".....Waltz
Waltz, "Impassioned Dream".....Rosas
Dance, "The Dances of the World".....Lumley
Selection, "Gems of Stephen Foster".....Hobani
March, "Navajo".....Olstyne
S. Brenner, conductor.

Cool Breezes at Chevy Chase Lake.

Marine Band concerts every evening. Dancing.—Advertisement.

Good Templar Lodge Program.

Perseverance Lodge, No. 2, I. O. O. T., met in Scottish Rite Hall, 910 Pennsylvania avenue, last Saturday evening. Chief Templar Miss Blanche Neff occupied the chair. Consideration and action upon communications relative to all matters of lodge interest in Concordia Hall, 508 6th street.

A piano recital was given by Mrs. Wood; a musical reading was given by Mr. R. E. Smith of Fifth Lodge, explanatory of how a veteran of the war between the states was equipped in story telling by his son, a veteran of the Spanish-American war; a charming piano duet was rendered by Mrs. Emma Corby and Miss Wood; a monologue recital was given by Mr. A. Wilkinson; addresses upon various phases of Good Templar work were given by Grand Secretary Westford, Grand Marshal Finney and Mrs. John Bryson. A. H. Frear, I. L. Corby, S. W. Russell and Mr. and Mrs. Dugan of Fifth Lodge, and Mr. and Mrs. Frear, by request, rendered a variety of songs, "The Old Home Far Away."

World's Fair Rates.

Via Chesapeake & Ohio Route. Coach excursion tickets each Thursday in July, 16:00; 15-day tickets, \$21.50; 60-day tickets, \$26.00; season tickets, \$31.20—two latter optional one way via Chicago, with ten-day stopover there without extra charge on deposit of ticket. Ten-day stopover at Cincinnati on all but coach excursions to etc. Grandest scenery east of the Rockies. Particulars, C. & O. ticket offices.—Adv't.

IN THE POLICE COURT.

TWENTY-THREE OFFENDERS IN THE DOCK.

Most of Them Were Sentenced, Though Some of Them Got Off With Personal Bonds.

Judge Scott was in his place promptly at 9:30 o'clock this morning in the District branch of the Police Court ready to dispense justice to the twenty-three unfortunate who were in the toils on charges of a petty character. Court, however, did not start until fifteen minutes behind the scheduled time, the delay being occasioned by the fact that the prosecuting attorney, Mr. Pugh, who was detained in his office preparing the papers against those in the dock. In the meantime a number of policemen, who had been on duty all night, were kept from their sleep.

"John Ryan," called Clerk Harper, when the wheels of justice began to revolve. "Here," was the reply from a man about thirty-two years of age who was arraigned on a charge of vagrancy.

"Ryan was drunk and begging for something to eat in South Washington last night," a policeman reported to the court. "What have you got to say?" Bailiff Hughes asked the man behind the bars.

"Not a thing," was the quick response. "Twenty dollars or sixty days."

Alexander B. Rowe, a barber, the next in line, was also arraigned on a charge of vagrancy.

"What has Alex been doing?" Prosecuting Attorney Pugh asked Policeman McKenney.

"I've seen him drunk every other night for the last six weeks," the officer answered.

"Your honor, I have been having chills and they took all my strength from me and I can hardly walk," was the statement Rowe made to Judge Scott.

"Personal bonds."

A Sad Case.

A sad case was called to the attention of the court when Policeman Scott, a man about sixty years of age, was arraigned on a charge of vagrancy. Both of Fleming's legs are removed just above the knees and he has no fingers on either of his hands.

"What has this unfortunate man been doing this time?" Mr. Pugh asked the policeman.

"Fleming Williams, after recognizing Fleming as having been in court before. He's been laying around in South Washington for several days drunk most of the time."

"When did you get out of the workhouse?" Judge Scott asked the man.

"A man in your condition and afflicted as you are ought to have better sense than to drink liquor," Mr. Pugh told the defendant.

"Where is your home?" Judge Scott asked Fleming.

"Out in Wyoming," the court told him.

"Why did you leave there?" his honor inquired.

"Because I was a fool," he answered.

"Well, be a man and go back," the judge said.

"Will you give me a pass?" the cripple wanted to know.

"I'm not a silver mine and neither am I a railroad," the court told him.

"I could get back home easy if I had a railroad pass," the man continued.

"And the only passes that I can give are for the jail and workhouse," Judge Scott informed him.

At the suggestion of Mr. Pugh, the court sent the man to the board of charities to have him taken to the workhouse to assist Fleming in returning to his far distant home. Dr. Wilson sent word that it would be necessary to investigate the man's story, and the court held him for ten days.

Denial of No Avail.

"I'm not that," said Michael O'Day, a man about forty years of age, when he was arraigned on a charge of vagrancy.

"He spends most of his time under the influence of liquor in South Washington," was the statement of a policeman.

"I hardly ever miss a day from my work," O'Day declared.

"You are not charged with working," the court told the man, "but with getting drunk."

"But I can't work and get drunk, too," O'Day explained.

"That's easy," his honor said. "You work during the daytime and get drunk at night."

"The court, however, thought that he did, and taxed him \$30, naming imprisonment in jail for three months as the equivalent."

"I'm B. O. station, a very tall, colored man, was charged with misbehavior in front of the post office yesterday afternoon."

"He was drunk and trying to raise a disturbance," Policeman Boyce recited.

"What do you want to say?" Shorter was asked.

"Nothing."

"Ten dollars or thirty days."

A Traveling Minister.

Robert J. F. Brann, a traveling minister, who said that he left California several years ago to spread the gospel broadcast, denied that he was a vagrant.

Edward P. Guy, the chief witness against Brann, said that the latter was holding a religious meeting near the fountain at the corner of 7th street and Pennsylvania avenue northwest last night, and that he saw several persons give him money.

"What was he preaching about?" his honor wanted to know.

"That Christians should not marry or work."

"We are pretty well supplied with ministers here in this city, and I think it would be better if you went to the Philippines or some other place where the supply is not quite so large," Judge Scott suggested to Brann.

"I'm ready to leave if you let me," the preacher replied.

"Take his personal bonds with you, understanding," the court instructed Clerk Harper.

Joseph Haverly, about twenty-two years of age, pleaded guilty to a charge of carrying a loaded revolver, and was sentenced to the reformatory for thirty days.

He will spend the next six months here unless he pays a fine of \$50 which the court imposed on him.

Owen Donnelly, John Curley and Charles Moberly were in the toils on a joint charge of vagrancy.

"Holding up pedestrians in Georgetown last night," Policeman Peterson told his honor.

"For money to buy beer."

Each of the men declared that the officer was mistaken in his charge, but the court, not and levied an assessment of \$40 on each, giving them the privilege of spending the next six months in jail in lieu of payment.

"They arrived at Benning yesterday on a 'side-door Pullman,' Mounted Policeman Smith said after seeing Hanson and Francis James were arraigned on a joint charge of vagrancy.

"We want to go to Baltimore," the men said in chorus.

"Personal bonds, with the understanding that they leave the District," his honor told the clerk.

\$15—Cincinnati and Return—C. & O. Ry. Elks' convention tickets on sale July 10 to 17, World's Fair tickets, except coach, allow 15 days' stop at Cincinnati.—Adv't.

A New Sailing Craft.

The new sailing craft built by Mr. Arthur King, at the Alexandria ferry line wharf, was put overboard yesterday afternoon and as soon as her bottom planks were laid and her keel was in, she was ready for service. The boat has been named, but will be before she goes into service. She is about twenty feet long and has ample beam to make her stand up to a big press of sail. She is expected to be quite speedy and will be used by her owner for pleasure trips on the river.

"I Never Disappoint."

Only one printer said that—only one can. Byron S. Adams, Printer, 512 11th st.—Adv't.

Baltimore and Ohio Railroad World's Fair Coach Excursions, every Thursday in July. Only \$17.00 round trip, good ten days. Leave B. & O. station, Washington, N. J. ave. and C. & O. st., 10:05 a.m., July 14, 21 and 28.—Advertisement.

Roosevelt and Fairbanks Club.

At the meeting of the Roosevelt and Fairbanks Republican Club, held at 119 L street northwest, last night, Cornelius Lewis, the president, was in the chair and A. B. Rice acted as secretary. After the regular routine of business, addresses were delivered by G. R. Seymour, H. J. Akers, Jos. Siles, Charles H. Smith and J. O. Connors. A letter was received from President Roosevelt, and the 30th proximo, and also one from Senator Fairbanks on July 1 returning their thanks for the club's participation in the election through the president of the club, after which the meeting adjourned.

Money to lend at 5 and 6 per cent on real estate. Frank T. Rawlings, 1505 Pa. ave.—Advertisement.

Illness of Mrs. Sholes.

The many friends of Mrs. W. H. Sholes have been informed that she is dangerously ill at Asbury Park, where she had gone recently with her husband to escape from a recent attack of nervous prostration.

ASKED TO CONSTRUCT WILL.

Executors of Estate of Mrs. J. M. D. Lander Appeal to Court.

Proceedings in equity, the court being asked to construe the will of the late Mrs. Jean Margaret D. Lander, were conducted yesterday by Charles J. Bell and James L. Gardner, in the capacity as executors and testamentary trustees, those named as defendants being Frederick Charles Lander, Baring Bros. & Co., Frederick Lander Young, Charles Lander Young, Margaret Donald Ewing Mackay, Sarah R. Hartley, Mary MacMacken, Actors' Fund of America, Garfield Memorial Hospital, Newsboys and Children's Aid Society, Colored Home, Home for the Homeless, Henry K. Willard and James Schouler.

Mrs. Lander was the widow of General Lander and many years ago, as last day's report was prominent as an actress. She died August 2, 1903, leaving a personal estate of the appraised value of \$40,308.20, of which \$46,351 was in stocks and \$24,413.20 in bonds and cash.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts, the payment of the debts due from the estate, expenses of administration, cost of the monument to mark the grave of the decedent, as specified in her will, and the balance to be paid to the beneficiaries.

The executors point out that after the satisfaction of the specific bequests embraced in the will, Mr. Lander's estate was divided into three parts